

REMARKS

The proposed amendment corrects an obvious word processing error. The amended claims under Article 19 and the substitute sheets under Rule 26 (attached as Exhibits A and B, respectively) show the correct formula in claim 7. In the Response to the Restriction Requirement submitted 25 August 2008, again, the correct structure was shown in claim 7 as shown in page 3 thereof attached as Exhibit C. For some inexplicable reason, when the Response to the first Office action was filed on 16 March 2009, the brackets were omitted from claim 7. The claim did not show any change in the formula by crossing out the original formula and replacement, so clearly the omission of the brackets was a simple error. A copy of the relevant page is attached as Exhibit D. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request correction of claim 7 as indicated above.

In the unlikely event that the transmittal letter is separated from this document and the Patent Office determines that an extension and/or other relief is required, applicants petition for any required relief including extensions of time and authorize the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to **Deposit Account No. 03-1952** referencing docket No. 677492000600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 31, 2009

By: _____ / Kate H. Murashige /
Kate H. Murashige
Registration No.: 29,959
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100
San Diego, California 92130-2040
Telephone: (858) 720-5112
Facsimile: (858) 720-5125